State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game Nomination for Waters Important to Anadromous Fish

Signature of Area Biologist:

74-17


egion ARCT7 C			JSGS Quad ALA		2 41/ 4 =			
775012			1171	ZRRZSON 1				
nadromous Water Catal	og Number of Waterway	330-00 ~	00700-	-0272	-			
lame of Waterway	NAMED LAKE		USGS Name		Local Nam			
	☐ Deletion ☐ C	correction   Back	up Information					
,	8.	For Office Use						
Iomination #	98 128	1	AD DUT	16 -13	3-97			
Revision Year.		Region	Regional Supervisor Date					
Revision to: Atlas	Catalog	56	El Win 1/27/98					
	Both X	AWC P	roject Biologist	P	ate			
Revision Code:	A-2				4/2/98			
			D	Date				
	OB	SERVATION INFORMA	TION					
Species	Date(s) Observe	d Spawning	Rearing	Present	Anadromous			
Broad Whitefish	7-22-96		X	×	Ø			
		-						
upper extent of each sp	pled; copies of field notes becies, as well as other in hs, types, and heights of	nformation such as: sp			10			
Comments: Sea	S ATTACH	60 0166	5 60	- Mau	70.1			
(1997), L.	AKES SAMP OLVILLE R	FOR	F75H	110 110				
NEAR C	OLVILLE R	LAYER,	ALASKA	1979-	-1996			
					SKA DEPT. OF SH & GAME			
				nn	T 2 3 1997			
Name of Observer (pleas	e print)	ARRY MO	ULTON					
Date:	_		SEARCH		AND RESTORA DIVISION			
		5460 NE			-			
		BAINBRIDG	E ISLAN	D WH	98110			
The second secon	best professional judgm				1.00			
should be included in o Anadromous Fishes pe	or deleted from the Catalo	og of Waters Importan	t for Spawning, Re	aring or Migration	n of			
	The second of							

Revision 11/96

ADD LAKE 330-00-00700-0272 w/ WF

## HARRISON BAY (A-2) QUADRANGLE

ALASKA-NORTH SLOPE BOROUGH



## Lake M9611

Other Names: none


Location:


70°10.58'N 150°49.04'W; Harrison Bay A-2: T10N R5E, Sect 34, 35


Habitat:


Perched Lake (Frequent Flooding)

Catch Record:			Number	Fork Length		
	Gear	Date	(hours)	Species	Caught	(mm)
	Gill Net	Jul 22 96	9.2	Broad whitefish	7	
				Least cisco	4	
				Northern pike	1	605









Answers to your Questions about Unnamed Lakes with Least Cisco and Broad Whitefish - Colville River

(Question #1) if an outlet stream exists and where is it? Many of the lakes do not have outlet streams. For those lakes with defined outlets I have shown them on the USGS maps.

(Question #2) if there is no outlet stream, are these fish truly anadromous? Yes, the lakes without outlets or inlets that have least cisco and/or broad whitefish are flooded periodically by the Colville River. During these flood events which may occur annually or in some cases maybe only during a 5-year event, fish (anadromous species) move into and out of the lakes. Many of the lakes within the Colville River delta are classified as perched (either frequently or infrequently flooded) and the same thing happens. Whitefish move in and out based on flow events. Most movement into or out of lakes occurs during breakup when flood levels in the Colville River peak. Unlike other streams on the North Slope, major flood events in the Colville River (at least the lower portion - the delta) are spring breakup events.

(Question #3) if so can you provide some references or documentation supporting anadromy, migration patterns, spawning etc? The main reference is Moulton (1997) in which he summarizes fisheries data collection and lists the species. He also includes growth curves for least cisco that can be compared with a growth curve based on data from anadromous least cisco. Generally, growth for lake residents is higher than for anadromous. But, at any point in time, a lake resident fish with access to brackish waters, may go to the nearshore to feed.

Lakes within the delta should continue to be covered with the polygon. One could possibly make the argument that a polygon is also appropriate for many of the lakes located just east of the main channel of the Colville River. Most of these lakes, even though the sampling is still fairly limited, contain broad whitefish and least cisco. Moulton's prefers to use fyke-nets to collect fish to reduce mortality but in the deeper lakes the broad whitefish are generally not caught with fyke-nets. Thus the number of fish, particularly broad whitefish and least cisco, in the deeper lakes is much higher than shown by fyke-net data.